IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARAKHAND AT NAINITAL

Writ Petition No. 406 of 2006 (S/B)

Ram Datt Paliwal S/o Sri T.C. Paliwal,
R/o Village – Pali, P.O. Daula, District Almora,
Presently posted as S.D.M. Didihat,
District Pithoragarh. …… Petitioner

Versus

1. State of Uttaranchal through,
   Principal Secretary Revenue,
   Govt. of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
2. Chief revenue Commissioner,
   Dehradun, Uttaranchal.
3. Secretary Karmik,
   Govt. of Uttaranchal, Dehradun.
4. District Magistrate Champawat,
   District Champawat.
5. District Magistrate Pithoragarh,
   District Pithoragarh. …… Respondents

None for the petitioner.
Sri Subhash Upadhyay, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Coram: Hon'ble Rajeev Gupta, C.J.
Hon'ble J.C.S.Rawat, J.

RAJEEV GUPTA, C.J. (Oral)

Petitioner's Counsel absent.

Sri Subhash Upadhyay, Standing Counsel for the respondents.

2. As there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, we are left with no other option but to dismiss the writ petition for want of prosecution.

3. The writ petition, therefore, is dismissed for want of prosecution.

4. Consequently, CLMA No. 15552 of 2006 also stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.) **(Rajeev Gupta, C.J.)**
30.03.2007 30.03.2007

G